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2  A summary of our inspection

The focus of our inspections is to ensure each service is person-centred, safe and well led. Therefore, we only evaluate the service against three key quality indicators which apply across all services. However, depending on the scope and nature of the service, we may look at additional quality indicators.

About our inspection

We carried out an announced inspection to Clinica Medica on Monday 18 November 2019. We spoke with a number of staff during the inspection and received 131 responses from patients to our online feedback survey. This was our first inspection to this service.

The inspection team was made up of three inspectors.

What we found and inspection grades awarded

For Clinical Medica, the following grades have been applied to three key quality indicators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key quality indicators inspected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Domain 2 – Impact on people experiencing care, carers and families</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quality indicator</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 - People’s experience of care and the involvement of carers and families</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Domain 5 – Delivery of safe, effective, compassionate and person-centred care** |
| **Quality indicator** | **Summary findings** | **Grade awarded** |
| 5.1 - Safe delivery of care | Patients were cared for in a clean, well maintained environment. Medicines were prescribed and administered safely. Some policies and procedures should be reviewed to make sure they reflect the service offered. A risk register would help monitor risks. | ✔ Satisfactory |
The new service manager had taken positive steps to improve the service. The service kept up to date with changes in the aesthetics industry, legislation and best practice guidance through its membership with national groups and training events. A more developed quality improvement plan would help support continuous improvement.

The following additional quality indicators were inspected against during this inspection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality indicator</th>
<th>Summary findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Domain 5 – Delivery of safe, effective, compassionate and person-centred care</td>
<td>Patients received a good quality patient assessment before any treatment was provided, including a medical history questionnaire. Patient care records were clear and accurate. Patients were fully informed about the risks and benefits of treatments. GP details were not recorded and patients were not made aware of the information-sharing processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain 7 – Workforce management and support</td>
<td>Recruitment, training and induction policies were in place. Safe recruitment procedures were followed and staff were satisfied with the training and development opportunities available to them. Occupational health checks were not routinely done as part of the recruitment process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grades may change after this inspection due to other regulatory activity. For example, if we have to take enforcement action to improve the service or if we investigate and agree with a complaint someone makes about the service.

More information about grading can be found on our website at: [http://www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org/our_work/inspecting_and_regulating_care/ihc_inspection_guidance/inspection_methodology.aspx](http://www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org/our_work/inspecting_and_regulating_care/ihc_inspection_guidance/inspection_methodology.aspx)
What action we expect Clinica Medica Ltd to take after our inspection

This inspection resulted in three requirements and nine recommendations. The requirements are linked to compliance with the National Health Services (Scotland) Act 1978 and regulations or orders made under the Act, or a condition of registration. See Appendix 1 for a full list of the requirements and recommendations.

An improvement action plan has been developed by the provider and is available on the Healthcare Improvement Scotland website:
www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org/our_work/inspecting_and_regulating_care/independent_healthcare/find_a_provider_or_service.aspx

Clinica Medica Ltd, the provider, must address the requirements and make the necessary improvements as a matter of priority.

We would like to thank all staff at Clinica Medica for their assistance during the inspection.
3 What we found during our inspection

Outcomes and impact

This section is where we report on how well the service meets people’s needs.

Domain 2 – Impact on people experiencing care, carers and families

High performing healthcare organisations deliver services that meet the needs and expectations of the people who use them.

Our findings

Quality indicator 2.1 - People’s experience of care and the involvement of carers and families

Patients were very satisfied with the service. Patient feedback was collected using a variety of methods. Information about how to make a complaint could be more easily accessible for patients.

Patients who used the service told us they were very satisfied with the service. Comments included:

- ‘Great service, friendly staff.’
- ‘Efficient caring service.’
- ‘Very professional treatment from start to finish.’

Patients were given the opportunity to provide feedback about the service in a number of ways, in line with its service user participation policy. This included:

- a patient feedback card available in reception
- an electronic survey sent following an appointment, and
- through the website or service’s social media page.

The service told it had acted on the one suggested improvement it received about introducing magazines in the waiting area. The service was clear that any negative feedback or suggestions for improvement would be discussed at team meetings and actioned.

We saw that patients could access a pricelist on the service’s website and a pricelist was being produced that would be kept at reception in the service. A variety of patient treatment information leaflets were available in the reception.
area and we saw examples of the post-treatment information given to patients. Patients we spoke with told us they received sufficient information in a format that was easy to understand and they felt fully involved in their care.

The service’s duty of candour policy described how it would meet its professional responsibility to be honest with patients if things went wrong.

Patients could request a copy of the service’s complaints policy and we saw it stated that Healthcare Improvement Scotland could be contacted at any time. We tracked how the service dealt with the one complaint it had received and found it followed its process. We saw that lessons learned had been shared with staff and an action plan developed.

**What needs to improve**
While patients could request a copy of the complaints policy, complaints information was not available on the website or in reception. The service told us it was producing a leaflet detailing how patients could complain to the service. We will follow this up at future inspections.

- No requirements.
- No recommendations.
Service delivery

This section is where we report on how safe the service is.

Domain 5 – Delivery of safe, effective, compassionate and person-centred care

High performing healthcare organisations are focused on safety and learning to take forward improvements, and put in place appropriate controls to manage risks. They provide care that is respectful and responsive to people’s individual needs, preferences and values delivered through appropriate clinical and operational planning, processes and procedures.

Our findings

Quality indicator 5.1 - Safe delivery of care

Patients were cared for in a clean, well maintained environment. Medicines were prescribed and administered safely. Some policies and procedures should be reviewed to make sure they reflect the service offered. A risk register would help monitor risks.

A variety of policies and procedures were in place to manage the safe delivery of care and staff had been trained in health and safety. Completed risk assessments were detailed and described the actions taken to address risks.

We saw that a detailed investigation was carried out after an adverse event in the service to help learn lessons for improvement. This was then discussed at team meetings and changes made to the service.

The general clinic environment was clean, well maintained and we saw cleaning schedules used in the treatment rooms. Patients who responded to our survey were all extremely happy with the quality of cleanliness and the environment in the service. Comments included:

- ‘Very clean always, good attention to detail.’
- ‘Extremely high standards of care are evident in all areas.’

The service’s medication policy set out how medicines were procured, stored, prescribed and administered in the service and staff described this process to us. Arrangements were in place to deal with medical emergencies, including training for staff, first aid supplies and equipment. The service had an automatic defibrillator so trained and informed staff could support patients in an emergency.
An appropriately qualified, named laser protection advisor provided regular input to the service and we saw it used lasers safely. ‘Local rules’ are the local arrangements to manage laser safety, usually developed by the laser protection advisor. These were in place for each laser and all relevant staff had received core of knowledge laser training.

The service had an asset log with all equipment listed, including servicing dates. From records, we saw that appropriate organisations carried out equipment servicing when needed.

**What needs to improve**

The service was unable to provide evidence that the theatre ventilation system complied with the required specification for the surgical procedures it carried out (requirement 1).

Some treatment rooms did not have compliant clinical hand wash basins. Due to the type of procedures the service provided, staff are required to carry out a ‘surgical scrub’ before treating patients for some procedures. This method of washing hands, forearms and finger nails is required before carrying out surgical procedures. The clinical hand wash basin in the minor surgical procedure room was not designed for this type of hand-washing technique and restricted the ability of staff to do this effectively (requirement 2).

To assess the safety culture in the service, we discussed with staff the importance of following World Health Organization guidelines during surgical procedures. For example, taking a ‘surgical pause’ before starting surgery to check they had the correct patient and equipment. While staff told us they knew these checks and carried them, they did not document them in the patient care record (recommendation a).

Sterile instruments used in the service were not tracked and traceable to allow identification, for example in the case of instrument failure. Correct tracking and traceability allows the service to know the equipment used on each patient (recommendation b).

The service had risk assessments in place. However, at the time of our inspection, it did not have a risk register to record and effectively monitor all its risks in one place (recommendation c).

Not all policies and procedures were in line with current legislation, such as safeguarding and protecting children and vulnerable adults policies. The actions for staff to follow were not always clear in the policies (recommendation d).
We also discussed with the service the opportunity it had to review policies and where appropriate consider merging some policies and amending review dates, to make review a less onerous task.

We saw the service had recently introduced an audit programme to cover a number of aspects of the service.

**Requirement 1 – Timescale: by 27 July 2020**
- The provider must ensure that the theatre ventilation system installed in the procedure room conforms to national guidance for specialised ventilation for healthcare premises.

**Requirement 2 – Timescale: by 27 July 2020**
- The provider must assess the availability and suitability of clinical hand wash basins in the clinic area against current guidance. The service should then develop a risk-based action plan to address any deficiencies noted as part of the wider refurbishment plans for the service.

**Recommendation a**
- The service should ensure all patients having surgery have safety checks completed and documented in line with the World Health Organization Guidelines for Safe Surgery (2009).

**Recommendation b**
- The service should ensure an effective process is in place for the tracking and traceability of both single-use and reusable patient equipment.

**Recommendation c**
- The service should develop a risk register.

**Recommendation d**
- The service should review its policies and procedures to ensure they are in line with current legislation and reflect the service provided.
Our findings

Quality indicator 5.2 - Assessment and management of people experiencing care

Patients received a good quality patient assessment before any treatment was provided, including a medical history questionnaire. Patient care records were clear and accurate. Patients were fully informed about the risks and benefits of treatments. GP details were not recorded and patients were not made aware of the information-sharing processes.

We discussed with staff how patients' needs were assessed, and how treatment was planned and delivered, in line with patients' individual treatment plans. The seven patient care records we reviewed showed that comprehensive assessments and consultations were carried out before treatment started. These included taking a full medical history, with details of:

- allergies
- health conditions
- medications, and
- previous treatments.

The service used different forms to record medical history depending on the treatment given.

We saw evidence of treatment plans being developed and agreed with patients. These set out the course and frequency of treatment. Records of each treatment session included a photo of the areas treated. Dosage and medicine batch numbers of each treatment were also recorded. Patient care records we saw documented the verbal and written aftercare advice given to patients.

Patients were given information about risks and benefits of treatment in a way that they understood. Patients who took part in our survey were happy that the risks and benefits of treatment had been explained to them before treatment. Comments included:

- ‘All explained very clearly.’
- ‘Balanced view of treatment/adverse side effects given prior to treatment.’
What needs to improve
Patients’ GP details were not recorded in patient care records to allow staff to communicate effectively with the GP when appropriate (recommendation e).

Patient care records did not state that patients had been made aware that their information could be shared with other healthcare professionals in an emergency (recommendation f).

While a recent patient care record audit had been carried out, this could be developed further. We will follow this up at future inspections.

■ No requirements.

Recommendation e
■ The service should keep a record of the patient GP details in the patient care record.

Recommendation f
■ The service should ensure patients are aware that information will be shared with other healthcare professionals as required and that this is documented in the patient care record.
Domain 7 – Workforce management and support

High performing healthcare organisations have a proactive approach to workforce planning and management, and value their people supporting them to deliver safe and high quality care.

Our findings

Quality indicator 7.1 - Staff recruitment, training and development

Recruitment, training and induction policies were in place. Safe recruitment procedures were followed and staff were satisfied with the training and development opportunities available to them. Occupational health checks were not routinely done as part of the recruitment process.

We checked six staff files and were satisfied that staff were recruited in line with the service recruitment policy. All the files we checked demonstrated that the service followed safe recruitment procedures. The employee files contained application forms and evidence of qualification checks, verification of identity, satisfactory references and PVG checks for clinical staff. All recruitment files also contained evidence of employee indemnity insurance.

The service had an induction and training policy in place. We spoke to staff who told us that they were satisfied with the induction they received following recruitment and there were ongoing mentorship arrangements in place. A competency checklist was included in all staff files. This was designed in collaboration by the manager and employee and gave both the opportunity to identify training need. In addition, staff told us that they were encouraged to maintain training logs, this was a record of all mandatory and continuing professional development training that they had undertaken.

We saw that the service had processes in place to allow staff to learn from incidents and develop action plans that were informed by reflection. Staff undertook annual appraisals with management. As part of the appraisal process, staff submitted a reflective account of what had gone well and any areas identified for improvement. Feedback and actions from the appraisal discussion were clearly recorded within the staff files and stored appropriately.

What needs to improve

The service had recently updated its staff IT system and we non-clinical staff had access to patient-sensitive information. While the service had identified the need to complete appropriate Disclosure Scotland checks for non-clinical staff, these checks had not been completed (requirement 3).
We saw no evidence that employee health declarations were obtained before they started working in the service. Occupational health checks help make sure that staff meet the required health standards to perform their duties and help identify areas where staff may require additional support (recommendation g).

**Requirement 3 – Timescale: 27 April 2020**

- The provider must ensure that all staff roles are risk assessed and relevant prospective employees are not included on the adults’ list in the Protection of Vulnerable Groups (Scotland) Act 2007.

**Recommendation g**

- The service should satisfy itself that appropriate health checks have been carried out for staff.
Vision and leadership

This section is where we report on how well the service is led.

Domain 9 – Quality improvement-focused leadership

High performing healthcare organisations are focused on quality improvement. The leaders and managers in the organisation drive the delivery of high quality, safe, person-centred care by supporting and promoting an open and fair culture of continuous learning and improvement.

Our findings

Quality indicator 9.4 - Leadership of improvement and change

The new service manager had taken positive steps to improve the service. The service kept up to date with changes in the aesthetics industry, legislation and best practice guidance through its membership with national groups and training events. A more developed quality improvement plan would help support continuous improvement.

A new service manager had recently made some improvements. For example, staff we spoke with told us about regular meetings that took place. Staff were also kept informed about service developments. A calendar of scheduled meetings in 2020 had been developed and shared with staff for their information. The service manager told us that governance meetings were planned with senior staff every 3 months.

The service promoted a learning environment and staff could complete courses from the provider’s training academy to contribute to their professional development. The service’s monthly journal club was open to all clinical staff and helped them keep up to date with changes in the aesthetics industry, legislation and best practice guidance. As well as professional registration, staff were members of wider professional groups including, the Royal College of Nursing (RCN) and the Aesthetic Complications Expert (ACE) Group.

We saw good working relationships between staff. For example, staff shared information about the service in a private social media group. They had access to an electronic task management system and staff we spoke with told us it was useful in providing information they needed, such as quicker access to policies and procedures.
What needs to improve
An improvement plan had recently been implemented. However, service improvement objectives were not informed from a structured clinical audit programme, including clinical effectiveness (recommendation h).

Minutes from staff meetings were not consistently recorded. For example, actions taken and people responsible for actions were not always clear (recommendation i).

■ No requirements.

Recommendation h
■ The service should further develop its quality improvement plan to ensure that service improvement objectives are informed by a robust programme of clinical audit, including clinical effectiveness.

Recommendation i
■ The service should develop a structured approach to record the minutes of staff and management meetings. These should include details of any actions taken and those responsible for the actions.
Appendix 1 – Requirements and recommendations

The actions that Healthcare Improvement Scotland expects the independent healthcare service to take are called requirements and recommendations.

- **Requirement:** A requirement is a statement which sets out what is required of an independent healthcare provider to comply with the National Health Services (Scotland) Act 1978, regulations or a condition of registration. Where there are breaches of the Act, regulations, or conditions, a requirement must be made. Requirements are enforceable at the discretion of Healthcare Improvement Scotland.

- **Recommendation:** A recommendation is a statement that sets out actions the service should take to improve or develop the quality of the service but where failure to do so will not directly result in enforcement.

## Domain 5 – Delivery of safe, effective, compassionate and person-centred care

### Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>The provider must ensure that the theatre ventilation system installed in the procedure room conforms to national guidance for specialised ventilation for healthcare premises (see page 11).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timescale – by 27 July 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| *Regulation 10(2)(c)*  
*The Healthcare Improvement Scotland (Requirements as to Independent Health Care Services) Regulations 2011* |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2</th>
<th>The provider must assess the availability and suitability of clinical hand wash basins in the clinic area against current guidance. The service should then develop a risk-based action plan to address any deficiencies noted as part of the wider refurbishment plans for the service (see page 11).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timescale – by 27 July 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| *Regulation 3(d)(i)(ii)*  
*The Healthcare Improvement Scotland (Requirements as to Independent Health Care Services) Regulations 2011* |
### Domain 5 – Delivery of safe, effective, compassionate and person-centred care (continued)

#### Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>The service should ensure all patients having surgery have safety checks completed and documented in line with the World Health Organization Guidelines for Safe Surgery (2009) (see page 11).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health and Social Care Standards: My support, my life. I experience high quality care and support that is right for me. Statement 1.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>The service should ensure an effective process is in place for the tracking and traceability of both single-use and reusable patient equipment (see page 11).</td>
<td>Health and Social Care Standards: My support, my life. I have confidence in the organisation providing my care and support. Statement 4.11.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>The service should develop a risk register (see page 11).</td>
<td>Health and Social Care Standards: My support, my life. I have confidence in the organisation providing my care and support. Statement 4.14.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>The service should review its policies and procedures to ensure they are in line with current legislation and reflect the service provided (see page 11).</td>
<td>Health and Social Care Standards: My support, my life. I have confidence in the organisation providing my care and support. Statement 4.11.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>The service should keep a record of the patient GP details in the patient care record (see page 13).</td>
<td>Health and Social Care Standards: My support, my life. I have confidence in the organisation providing my care and support. Statement 4.18.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>The service should ensure patients are aware that information will be shared with other healthcare professionals as required and that this is documented in the patient care record (see page 13).</td>
<td>Health and Social Care Standards: My support, my life. I am fully involved in all decisions about my care and support. Statement 2.14.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Domain 7 – Workforce management and support

### Requirement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3</th>
<th>The provider must ensure that all staff roles are risk assessed and relevant prospective employees are not included on the adults’ list in the Protection of Vulnerable Groups (Scotland) Act 2007 (see page 15).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timescale</strong></td>
<td>by 27 April 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Regulation 9(2)*  
The Healthcare Improvement Scotland (Requirements as to Independent Health Care Services) Regulations 2011

### Recommendation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>g</th>
<th>The service should satisfy itself that appropriate health checks have been carried out for staff (see page 15).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health and Social Care Standards: My support, my life. I have confidence in the organisation providing my care and support. Statement 4.24.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Domain 9 – Quality improvement-focused leadership

### Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>h</th>
<th>The service should further develop its quality improvement plan to ensure that service improvement objectives are informed by a robust programme of clinical audit, including clinical effectiveness (see page 17).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health and Social Care Standards: My support, my life. I have confidence in the organisation providing my care and support. Statement 4.19.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>The service should develop a structured approach to record the minutes of staff and management meetings. These should include details of any actions taken and those responsible for the actions (see page 17).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health and Social Care Standards: My support, my life. I have confidence in the organisation providing my care and support. Statement 4.19.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 2 – About our inspections

Our quality of care approach and the quality framework allows us to provide external assurance of the quality of healthcare provided in Scotland.

Our inspectors use this approach to check independent healthcare services regularly to make sure that they are complying with necessary standards and regulations. Inspections may be announced or unannounced.

We follow a number of stages to inspect independent healthcare services.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before inspections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Independent healthcare services submit an annual return and self-evaluation to us.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We review this information and produce a service risk assessment to determine the risk level of the service. This helps us to decide the focus and frequency of inspection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>During inspections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We use inspection tools to help us assess the service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspections will be a mix of physical inspection and discussions with staff, people experiencing care and, where appropriate, carers and families.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We give feedback to the service at the end of the inspection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>After inspections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We publish reports for services and people experiencing care, carers and families based on what we find during inspections. Independent healthcare services use our reports to make improvements and find out what other services are doing well. Our reports are available on our website at: <a href="http://www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org">www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We require independent healthcare services to develop and then update an improvement action plan to address the requirements and recommendations we make.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We check progress against the improvement action plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More information about our approach can be found on our website: [www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org/our_work/governance_and_assurance/quality_of_care_approach.aspx](http://www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org/our_work/governance_and_assurance/quality_of_care_approach.aspx)
Complaints

If you would like to raise a concern or complaint about an independent healthcare service, you can complain directly to us at any time. However, we do suggest you contact the service directly in the first instance.

Our contact details are:

Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Gyle Square
1 South Gyle Crescent
Edinburgh
EH12 9EB

Telephone: 0131 623 4300

Email: hcis.ihcregulation@nhs.net